REMARKS

The present Amendment is in response to the Examiner's Final Office Action mailed June 5, 2006. Claims 14 and 20-22 are cancelled and claims 1, 12, and 13 are amended. Claims 1-13 and 15-19 are now pending in view of the above amendments.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments to the claims and the following remarks. For the Examiner's convenience and reference, Applicant's remarks are presented in the order in which the corresponding issues were raised in the Office Action.

Please note that the following remarks are not intended to be an exhaustive enumeration of the distinctions between any cited references and the claimed invention. Rather, the distinctions identified and discussed below are presented solely by way of example to illustrate some of the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references. In addition, Applicants request that the Examiner carefully review any references discussed below to ensure that Applicants understanding and discussion of the references, if any, is consistent with the Examiner's understanding.

I. Allowed Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 12 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, Applicants have amended claims 1 and 13 to include the elements of claims 12 and 14 indicated as allowable. It is believed that claims 1 and 13 are allowable at least for the reasons set forth by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action. Moreover, for at least the same reason, claims now depending from claim 1 – namely, claims 2-12 – and claims depending from claim 13 – namely, claims 15-19 – are also in a condition for allowance.

The Applicant's submit the following comments concerning the Examiner's statements of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action. Applicant agrees with the Examiner that the claimed invention of claims 1-13 and 15-19 are patentable over the prior art, but respectfully disagrees with the Examiners statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in Office Action. Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims allowable. No single limitation should be

Amendment After Final dated October 5, 2006

construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim

that makes it allowable. Therefore, Applicant's do not concede that the reasons for allowable

subject matter given by the Examiner are the only reasons that make, or would make, the claims

allowable and do not make any admission or concession concerning the Examiner's statement in

the Office Action.

8

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe the claims as amended are in allowable form. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, or which may be overcome by an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 5th day of October, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/David A. Jones/ Reg. # 50,004

DAVID A. JONES Registration No. 50,004 Attorney for Applicant Customer No. 022913

Telephone: (801) 533-9800

W:\16274\154a\ML0000004070V001.doc